IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1774 of 2001

M/s.Paranjape Builders .. Petitioner
versus
Banwarilal Ramkumar Faler & Anr. .. Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1775 of 2001

M/s.Paranjape Builders .. Petitioner
versus ...
Govind L. Vyas & Anr. .. Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1776 of 2001

M/s.Paranjape Builders .. Petitioner
versus
Deendayal Lalchand Kakra & Anr. .. Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1777 of 2001

M/s.Paranjape Builders .. Petitioner
versus ...
Smt.Durgadevi G. Vyas & Anr. .. Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1778 of 2001

M/s.Paranjape Builders .. Petitioner
versus
Madanmohan L. Vyas & Anr. .. Respondents

...

Mr.S.M. Railkar for the petitioners
Mr.P.S.Dani for the respondent nno.1.
Mr.G.V. Nagashethi for the respondent no.2.

CORAM : B.H.MARLAPALLE,J

DATED : 14th September 2005

P.C::-

1. As per the amended provisions of section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, Revision Application against the impugned orders cannot be entertained and therefore, on that ground itself, this Revision Application will have to be rejected summarily.

2. I have also noted that connected Writ Petitions have been dismissed in default by this Court as early as on 31st July 2003.

3. Civil Revision Applications are therefore rejected as withdrawn with liberty as is available in law.

4. So as to enable the petitioner to take recourse to an alternative remedy against the impugned orders, the ad-interim order if any is continued for a further period of two weeks.

5. Certified copy is expedited.

B.H. MARLAPALLE, J